## organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Yi-Tao Li, Bao-Han Zhou, Guo-Dong Yin and An-Xin Wu\*

Key Laboratory of Pesticides & Chemical Biology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: chwuax@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 292 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.065 wR factor = 0.188 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Diethyl 2,6-dicyclohexyl-4,8-dioxo-2,2,3,6,7tetrahydro-1*H*,5*H*-2,3a,4a,6,7a,8a-hexaazacyclopenta[*def*]fluorene-8b,8c-dicarboxylate

In the title compound,  $C_{26}H_{40}N_6O_6$ , the five-membered rings adopt envelope conformations and the six-membered rings are in chair conformations. The crystal packing is stabilized by  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

Received 23 August 2005 Accepted 16 September 2005 Online 21 September 2005

### Comment

In current coordination and supramolecular chemistry, the rational design of coordination architectures represents one of the most exciting and rapidly developing fields, owing to their potential as functional materials (Braga *et al.*, 1998). Glyco-luril derivatives have applications in many areas, such as explosives, slow-release fertilizers, crosslinkers, iodogens, stabilizers of organic compounds against photodegradation, and reagents in combinatorial chemistry (Wu *et al.*, 2002). Here we report the structure of the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), as a continuation of our previous studies in this area (Li & Wu, 2005).



The molecule of (I) exhibits normal geometric parameters (Table 1), except for shortened C-C distances in the ethyl groups. The imidazolidine rings adopt envelope conformations, whereas the cyclohexane and triazinane rings are in chair conformations. The crystal packing is stabilized by C- $H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

### **Experimental**

Cyclohexylamine (0.99 g, 10 mmol) and formaldehyde (1.2 g, 40 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of diethyl 2,5-dioxotetrahydroimidazo[4,5-*d*]imidazole-3a,6a-dicarboxylate (1.43 g, 5 mmol) in acetonitrile (50 ml) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the compound was purified by column chromatography to yield (I) (2.40 g, 90%) as a colourless solid. Colourless block-like crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained by slow evaporation of an acetic acid–ethyl acetate solution at 283 K.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

A view of the molecule of (I), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.



#### Figure 2

Part of the crystal packing of (I). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. Atoms labeled with the suffixes a and b are generated by the symmetry codes (-x, 1 - y, 1 - z) and (x - 1, y, z), respectively.

с

$C_{26}H_{40}N_6O_6$	Z = 2
$M_r = 532.64$	$D_x = 1.305 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 7.9337 (8) Å	Cell parameters from 1576
b = 13.0996 (13)  Å	reflections
c = 13.9361 (14)  Å	$\theta = 2.4-21.5^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 78.913 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 79.686 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 292 (2) K
$\gamma = 74.259 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, colorless
V = 1355.6 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.40 \times 0.18 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1997)  $T_{\min} = 0.963, T_{\max} = 0.993$ 6851 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$  $wR(F^2) = 0.188$ S = 1.054685 reflections 345 parameters H-atom parameters constrained 4685 independent reflections 3120 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$  $l=-16\rightarrow 8$ 

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0926P)^2]$ + 0.2271P] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}_{\circ}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

C1-C6	1.504 (4)	C10-O2	1.209 (3)
C6-N1	1.482 (3)	C10-N3	1.370 (4)
C7-N1	1.456 (3)	C11-N3	1.443 (3)
C8-N1	1.452 (3)	C11-C12	1.546 (4)
C9-O1	1.212 (3)	C12-O3	1.186 (3)
C9-N2	1.393 (3)	C13-C14	1.407 (7)
N1-C6-C1	108.6 (2)	O3-C12-O4	125.5 (3)
C1-C6-C5	109.6 (2)	O4-C12-C11	111.0 (2)
N1-C7-N2	113.6 (2)	O5-C16-O6	126.5 (3)
N1-C8-N3	113.3 (2)	O6-C16-C15	112.1 (3)
N3-C11-C12-O3	2.8 (4)	C12-C11-C15-N5	113.4 (2)
C15-C11-C12-O3	-113.6(3)	N3-C11-C15-C16	-125.9(2)
N3-C11-C12-O4	-176.0(2)	C12-C11-C15-C16	-6.0(3)
C15-C11-C12-O4	67.6 (3)	N4-C15-C16-O5	-175.3(3)
N3-C11-C15-N4	109.9 (2)	C11-C15-C16-O5	66.8 (3)
C12-C11-C15-N4	-130.2(2)	N4-C15-C16-O6	7.0 (3)
N3-C11-C15-N5	-6.5(2)	C11-C15-C16-O6	-110.9(3)

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C20-H20B\cdots O5^{i}$ $C17-H17A\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.97 0.97	2.49 2.55	3.381 (4) 3.513 (6)	152 171
$C17-H17A\cdots O3^n$	0.97	2.55	3.513 (6)	17

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z.

Methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with C-H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ , but each group was allowed to rotate freely about its C-C bond. All other H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H = 0.97 or 0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{ea}(C)$ . As attempts to restrain the C13–C14 and C17–C18 bond distances of the ethyl groups to 1.53 (1) Å resulted in limited improvement [1.444 (6) and 1.450 (6) Å, respectively], the restraints were not used. Also, no suitable disorder model was found for the ethyl groups.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors are grateful to the Central China Normal University, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20472022) and the Hubei Province Natural Science Fund (grant Nos. 2004ABA085 and 2004ABC002) for financial support.

## References

Braga, D., Grepioni, F. & Desiraju, G. R. (1998). Chem. Rev. 98, 1375-1405.

- Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.618), SAINT (Version 6.02), SADABS (Version 2.03) and SHELXTL (Version 6.10). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Li, Y. & Wu, A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o2374-o2375.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wu, A., Fettinger, J. C. & Isaacs, L. (2002). Tetrahedron, 58, 9769-9777.